1	Julie A. Mersch, Esq.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 004695 LAW OFFICE OF JULIE A. MERSCH				
3	428 S. 4 th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101				
4	(702) 387-5868 Fax (702) 387-0109				
5	jam@merschlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff Krysta Tremko				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
8	KRYSTA TREMKO,) CASE NO.: 2:24-cv-01703-JCM-MDC			
9	Plaintiff,))			
10	VS.) DISCOVERY PLAN AND			
11	LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF) SCHEDULING ORDER) (Special Scholeling Periods			
12	NORTH AMERICA, as Claims Administrator for the Capital One Financial) (Special Scheduling Review) Requested)			
13	Corporation Long-Term Disability Plan,))			
14	Defendant.))			
15)			
16	Plaintiff KRYSTA TREMKO and Defendant LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF				
17	NORTH AMERICA ("LINA") jointly request special scheduling review and submit the				
18	following discovery plan and order for this case.				
19	I. <u>Rule 26(f) Conference.</u>				
20	In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a telephonic conference was held on				
21	November 22, 2024 between Julie A. Mersch, counsel for the Plaintiff, and Kristina N.				
22	Holmstrom, counsel for LINA. The parties agree that the standard discovery plan is not best				
23	suited for this lawsuit, for the reasons set forth below. The parties further certify, pursuant to				

LR 26-1(b)(7-8), that they have met and conferred regarding the possibility of using alternate

dispute-resolution processes. The provisions of LR 26-1(b)(9) do not apply as no jury trial is

1

demanded or allowed in this matter.

24

25

26

II. Nature of Case and Purpose of Special Review.

The Plaintiff's complaint alleges a claim under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. ("ERISA"), seeking, *inter alia*, payment of past long-term disability benefits denied by LINA and approval of ongoing benefits. This action is brought pursuant to § 502(a)(1)(B) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1132 (a)(1)(B)) for wrongful denial of benefits.

No discovery plan and scheduling order is generally required for review of an administrative record. LR 16-1(c)(1). An action for an administrative review usually requires that the parties file a briefing schedule. LR 16-1(c). Accordingly, the parties jointly request that this Court review and adopt the proposed non-standard discovery and case schedule set forth below:

III. Proposed Plan

The parties have conferred and agree as follows:

- **A. Production of the Administrative Record:** Defendant will disclose a copy of the ERISA administrative record by **Friday, January 10, 2025.**
- **B.** Meet and Confer Period: Plaintiff contends that she is entitled to conduct discovery in this matter. Defendant does not concede that discovery is appropriate or permissible under the facts of this case.

Accordingly, Plaintiff and Defendant will meet and confer by **Friday, February 7**, **2025** as to 1) the type of discovery and scope of discovery that Plaintiff contends is permissible and should be conducted, with reasonable specificity (including proposed written discovery where possible); and 2) whether the parties agree regarding the contents of the Administrative Record, including whether materials should be added and/or removed therefrom. Any discovery that the parties agree is permissible ERISA discovery shall be served by **Friday, February 21, 2025.**

C. Briefing re: ERISA Discovery Disputes: To the extent that, after the meet

1	and confer period, the parties disagree as to 1) the permissible scope of discovery and type of			
2	discovery and/or 2) the contents of the Administrative Record, on or before Friday ,			
3	February 28, 2025, the parties will file a Stipulation Regarding Discovery Dispute in			
4	compliance with the Court's Standing Order.			
5	D. Filing of ERISA Administrative Record and Briefing of the Merits of the			
6	Case: If no discovery dispute statement is filed under Paragraph III.C., above, on or before			
7	Friday, February 28, 2025, Defendant will file the Administrative Record with this Court,			
8	the contents of which will be agreed upon by the parties. The parties jointly request to file			
9	the administrative record under seal. It is over 1,000 pages long and contains personal			
10	identifiers on most pages. Redacting the record would be prohibitively time-consuming and			
11	expensive. Additionally, the administrative record contains Plaintiff's sensitive medical			
12	records.			
13	If a joint Administrative Record is timely filed and simultaneous motions are not filed			
14	under Paragraph III.C., above, Plaintiff's Rule 52 and/or Rule 56 Motion shall be filed by			
15	Friday, April 11, 2025. Defendant's response will be due by Friday, May 23, 2025, and			
16	Plaintiff's reply memoranda will be due by Monday, June 9, 2025.			
17	If motion(s) are filed under Paragraph III.C., above, the deadlines set forth in			
18	this Paragraph III.D. will be suspended. The parties will propose new deadlines after any			
19	discovery motion has been ruled upon, to take into account any additional discovery time			
20	permitted.			
21	////			
22	////			
23				

////

1	IV.	Settlement.		
2	The p	The parties discussed settlement and are currently engaging in settlement discussions.		
3	DATI	ED: December 19, 2024	LAW OFFICE OF JULIE A. MERSCH	
4			By: /s/ Julie A. Mersch	
5			By: /s/ Julie A. Mersch JULIE A. MERSCH jam@merschlaw.com	
6			Nevada Bar No.: 004695 428 S. 4 th Street	
7			Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorney for Plaintiff Krysta Tremko	
8			Thomey for I wantig in your Trentico	
9	DATI	ED: December 19, 2024	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.	
10				
11			By: /s/ Kristina N. Holmstrom KRISTINA N. HOLMSTROM	
12			kristina.holmstrom@ogletree.com 10801 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 500	
13			Las Vegas, NV 89135 Attorneys for Defendant LINA	
14				
15				
16		IT IS SO ORDERED:		
17		Dated this 20th day of December, 2014.		
18				
19		UNICED STATES MAGIS TRATE JUDGE		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				